- Baker WL. 2020. Variable forest structure and fire reconstructed across historical ponderosa pine and mixed conifer landscapes of the San Juan Mountains, Colorado. *Land* **9**: 35.
- Baker WL and Williams MA. 2018. Land surveys show regional variability of historical fire regimes and dry forest structure of the western United States. *Ecol Appl* **28**: 284–90.
- Calkin DE, Cohen JD, Finney MA, and Thompson MP. 2014. How risk management can prevent future wildfire disasters in the wildland-urban interface. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **111**: 746–51.
- Ehle DS and Baker WL. 2003. Disturbance and stand dynamics in ponderosa pine forests in Rocky Mountain National Park, USA. *Ecol Monogr* **73**: 543–66.
- Hutto RL. 2006. Toward meaningful snag-management guidelines for postfire salvage logging in North American conifer forests. *Conserv Biol* **20**: 984–93.
- Hutto RL. 2008. The ecological importance of severe wildfires: some like it hot. *Ecol Appl* **18**: 1827–34.
- Hutto RL, Bond ML, and DellaSala DA. 2015. Using bird ecology to learn about the benefits of severe fire. In: DellaSala DA and Hanson CT (Eds). The ecological importance of mixed-severity fires: nature's phoenix. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier.
- Hutto RL, Keane RE, Sherriff RL, *et al.* 2016. Toward a more ecologically informed view of severe forest fires. *Ecosphere* 7: e01255.
- Marlon JR, Bartlein PJ, Gavin DG, *et al.* 2012. Long-term perspective on wildfires in the western USA. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**: E535–43.
- Rota CT, Rumble MA, Millspaugh JJ, et al. 2014. Space-use and habitat associations of black-backed woodpeckers (*Picoides arcticus*) occupying recently disturbed forests in the Black Hills, South Dakota. *Forest Ecol Manag* **313**: 161–68.
- Shinneman DJ and Baker WL. 1997. Nonequilibrium dynamics between catastrophic disturbances and old-growth forests in ponderosa pine landscapes of the Black Hills. *Conserv Biol* **11**: 1276–88.
- Stephens SL, Westerling AL, Hurteau MD, *et al.* 2020. Fire and climate change: conserving seasonally dry forests is still possible. *Front Ecol Environ* **18**: 354–60.

Undesirable outcomes in seasonally dry forests

F

We appreciate Hutto's call to promote positive ecological outcomes by recognizing diverse forest fire ecologies. Nevertheless, we continue to argue that restoration treatments are appropriate in the approximately 17 million ha of forest in the western US that historically burned every 40 years or less (Rollins 2009). Given ongoing climate change and increases in forest fuels resulting from fire suppression and exclusion, forest flammability is increasing along with the areal extent burned by large wildfires (Abatzoglou and Williams 2016). Hutto's argument - that we should focus on solving climate change rather than attempting to build climate resilience in seasonally dry forests - presents a false choice between climate-change mitigation and adaptation. To protect ecosystems, valuable ecosystem services, and human communities in a rapidly changing world, scientists and resource managers must pursue climate adaptation where it is possible, while aggressively pursuing mitigation options.

Unfortunately, Hutto's argument that restoration within mixed-conifer forests is inappropriate is based on some flawed research. Levine et al. (2017) tested the accuracy of five plotless General Land Office (GLO) density estimators and found the one developed by Williams and Baker (2011) was consistently biased toward overestimating forest density. This bias toward high density has been used to infer that historical fires were more severe in seasonally dry forests. Although Levine et al. (2017, 2019) provided all GLO estimator code and data on publicly accessible websites, Williams and Baker (2011) offered neither, and their findings were derived from research that cannot be replicated.

We agree with Hutto that the homogenous application of fire in seasonally dry forests is not appropriate. Homogeneity is precisely the condition that human attempts to exclude fire has yielded and is the cause of many of the forest-related problems currently experienced in the western US, including large, homogenous patches of severely burned forest. Fire-history reconstructions in several types of mixed-conifer forest demonstrate that high-frequency fire was common and that such fires created heterogeneous conditions (Arno 1980; Fulé et al. 2009; O'Connor et al. 2014; Margolis and Malevich 2016). The scale at which heterogeneity is necessary to maintain ecosystem function depends on a variety of factors. Arguing that most western US conifer forests are "born of and maintained by mixed- to high-severity fires" is an oversimplification. Scientists have known for decades that fire frequency is spatially variable, and that topographic complexity and adjacent vegetation types can alter fire regimes, even in the northern Rocky Mountains (Arno 1980).

Hutto argues that the forest restoration practices discussed in our 2020 paper in Frontiers - namely, prescribed fire and ecologically based forest thinning, which are intended to curb large severe fires ("megafires") - will have substantial adverse effects on biodiversity, particularly in the mixed-conifer zone. In doing so, Hutto invokes the argument that spotted owls (Strix occidentalis) benefit from expansive patches of severely burned forest, so that restoration cannot help safeguard this species. However, the claim that large patches of severely burned forest benefit spotted owls (eg Lee 2020) has been contested by the overwhelming majority of owl scientists, as has the argument that forest restoration cannot benefit owls or their habitat by curbing large severe fires (eg Jones et al. 2020).

Hutto also fails to acknowledge growing evidence that megafires may threaten the fire-associated blackbacked woodpecker (*Picoides arcticus*), which can occur at lower-than-expected densities in large forest patches burned at high severity (White *et al.* 2019). Rather, black-backed woodpeckers seem to benefit from pyrodiversity (seen in forests with a mosaic of burn severities) given that they tend to nest and forage at sites away from the centers of large, high-severity burn patches (eg Stillman *et al.* 2019). Preliminary evidence indicates that juvenile survival is lower for woodpeckers that spend their time in forests affected by large, highseverity fires (AN Stillman, pers comm). Hutto states that woodpeckers would be hundreds of times less abundant in previously treated versus untreated burned forests, but the paper referenced found woodpecker occurrence to be only twice as low in burned forests experiencing the light pre-fire harvesting characteristic of many fuels-reduction treatments.

More broadly, Hutto does not acknowledge the biodiversity implications of maintaining pyrodiverse landscapes in mixed-conifer forests - areas that support high species diversity in many groups of animals and plants (eg Ponisio et al. 2016; Tingley et al. 2016). Consequently, forest restoration practices, when implemented judiciously (Stephens et al. 2012, 2020), are more likely to promote desirable outcomes for iconic, forest-associated species and biodiversity than the "hands-off" approach promoted by Hutto, which instead will likely exacerbate severe fires in a warming climate (Abatzoglou and Williams 2016) and accelerate the longterm transition of many mixed-conifer forests to shrubland (Coop et al. 2020). While we agree that severely burned forests are a unique habitat worthy of conservation in the appropriate ecological context, as are their associated fauna and flora, the 2020 wildfire season in the western US vividly illustrates that this habitat is unlikely to be in short supply over the coming decades.

Scott L Stephens^{1*}, A LeRoy Westerling², Matthew D Hurteau³, M Zachariah Peery⁴, Courtney A Schultz⁵, and Sally Thompson⁶

¹Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California–Berkeley, Berkeley, CA *(sstephens@berkeley.edu); ²Department of Management of Complex Systems, University of California– Merced, Merced, CA; ³Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM; ⁴Department of Forest and Wildlife Ecology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI; ⁵Department of Forest and Rangeland Stewardship, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO; ⁶School of Engineering, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia

Abatzoglou JT and Williams AP. 2016. Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **113**: 11770–75.

- Arno SF. 1980. Forest fire history in the northern Rockies. *J Forest* **78**: 460–65.
- Coop JD, Parks SA, Stevens-Rumann CS, *et al.* 2020. Wildfire-driven forest conversion in western North American land-scapes. *BioScience* **70**: 659–73.
- Fulé PZ, Korb JE, and Wu R. 2009. Changes in forest structure of a mixed conifer forest, southwestern Colorado, USA. *Forest Ecol Manag* **258**: 1200–10.
- Jones GM, Gutiérrez RJ, Block WM, *et al.* 2020. Spotted owls and forest fire: comment. *Ecosphere* **11**: e03312.
- Lee DE. 2020. Spotted owls and forest fire: reply. *Ecosphere* **11**: e03310.
- Levine CR, Cogbill CV, Collins BM, *et al.* 2017. Evaluating a new method for reconstructing forest conditions from General Land Office survey records. *Ecol Appl* 27: 1498–513.
- Levine CR, Cogbill CV, Collins BM, *et al.* 2019. Estimating historical forest density from land-survey data: a response to Baker and Williams (2018). *Ecol Appl* **29**: e01968.
- Margolis EQ and Malevich SB. 2016. Historical dominance of low-severity fire in dry and wet mixed-conifer forest habitats of the endangered terrestrial Jemez Mountains salamander (*Plethodon neomexicanus*). Forest Ecol Manag **375**: 12–26.
- O'Connor CD, Falk DA, Lynch AM, and Swetnam TW. 2014. Fire severity, size, and climate associations diverge from historical precedent along an ecological gradient in the Pinaleno Mountains, Arizona, USA. *Forest Ecol Manag* **329**: 264–78.
- Ponisio LC, Wilkin K, M'Gonigle LK, et al. 2016. Pyrodiversity begets plant-pollinator community diversity. Glob Change Biol 22: 1794–808.
- Rollins MG. 2009. LANDFIRE: a nationally consistent vegetation, wildland fire, and fuel assessment. *Int J Wildland Fire* **18**: 235–49.
- Stephens SL, Battaglia MA, Churchill DJ, et al. 2020. Forest restoration and fuels reduction: convergent or divergent?

BioScience; https://doi.org/10.1093/biosc i/biaa134.

- Stephens SL, McIver JD, Boerner REJ, et al. 2012. Effects of forest fuel reduction treatments in the United States. *BioScience* 62: 549–60.
- Stillman AN, Siegel RB, Wilkerson RL, et al. 2019. Age-dependent habitat relationships of a burned forest specialist emphasise the role of pyrodiversity in fire management. J Appl Ecol 56: 880–90.
- Tingley MW, Ruiz-Gutiérrez V, Wilkerson RL, *et al.* 2016. Pyrodiversity promotes avian diversity over the decade following forest fire. *P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci* **283**: 20161703.
- White AM, Tarbill GL, Wilkerson RL, *et al.* 2019. Few detections of black-backed woodpeckers (*Picoides arcticus*) in extreme wildfires in the Sierra Nevada. *Avian Conserv Ecol* **14**: 17.
- Williams MA and Baker WL. 2011. Testing the accuracy of new methods for reconstructing historical structure of forest landscapes using GLO survey data. *Ecol Monogr* **81**: 63–88.

Preparing conservation practitioners for the Anthropocene

The conservation sector continues to evolve to address the inextricably linked social and ecological challenges of the Anthropocene (Mace 2014), an era characterized by nonlinear shifts, thresholds, and rapid changes driven by the profound influence of human activities on Earth's ecosystems (eg global climate change; Biermann et al. 2012). At the same time, social movements are reshaping narratives and solutions to address systemic racism, colonialist legacies, and historical and present injustices that plague both the conservation sector and institutions of higher learning (Barber et al. 2020). These challenges require conservation leaders equipped with a wide array of knowledge, skills, and capabilities to navigate and respond to dynamically shifting environmental issues (Sundberg et al. 2011; Yarime et al. 2012). Future conservation practitioners will have to not only be highly adaptive and anticipatory, but also develop the means to enable society